

All praise be to Allah, and may his peace and blessings be on the last and best prophet and messenger, Muhammad.

This is an answer to the comments made on my article "A Partial Answer To The Pope".

You have twisted Luke 19 to your own ends. That is a parable of the last judgment not the words of Jesus to exhort enemies to be put to the sword.

Well, as I indicated, if you say these clear words are parables or metaphors, then there is no way you can be convinced of anything. But if you think they are, and there is no hint in the new testament of the use of force, the popes for two hundred years didn't think the same way when they sent troops to fight against the wendish pagans, heretics, Jews, Eastern Christians and Muslims. And the followers of Christianity killed more people than the followers of all other religions combined. After all, Hitler was Christian, and his famous anti-Semitism is a product of Martin Luther's ideas. You forgot to quote Jesus saying love your enemies and that he who lives by the sword dies by the sword. The latter saying was when Peter cut off the ear of thre servant.

Well, Jesus (peace be upon him) was a prophet of God, and he may have said that, I have no problem with any good statements that you may quote from him, because I believe in his prophethood, but you have a problem with similar statements made by the Quran and the testimonies of multitudes of thinkers and historians about the greatness of Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) because you don't believe in him. ( <http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/fundamentals/prophet/otherscholars.html> ) For example, the following quranic verse is about the same concept of extending kindness to your enemies. "Nor can goodness and Evil be equal. Repel (Evil) with what is better: Then will he between whom and thee was hatred become as it were thy friend and intimate!" **(Quran 41:34).**

Jesus condemned the use of the sword. That was Mohamamd's modus operandi as the Sira reveals quite clearly which was conveniently condoned/ ordained by his god Allah.

You will be able to answer your self if you knew how many followers Jesus (Peace be upon him) had before his supposed crucifixion. And these followers didn't even stay faithful to him during his supposed crucifixion, as we are told by the current versions of the bible. Moses didn't fight in Egypt, but he did when he left it, so did Muhammad who didn't fight in Mecca, but did when he was able to stop injustice. It would be quiet hard to believe that if Jesus (Peace be upon him) would have not stopped the Romans from their injustice (like feeding the lions alive human beings) had he been able to do that. After all, there is not a single country that doesn't have an army, and it is a human consensus that the use of force is sometimes legitimate. That is even true in the charter of the UN. The difference between one who engages in war and another is in the justification of war and the manner by which it is conducted. I have mentioned some proofs that the companions of Prophet Muhammad were liberators of the oppressed people who suffered under the rule of the Roman Empire.

Remember Aisha's sarcasm when Mohmmad received a revelation to justify his marraige to Zainab. Aisha mocked him. Brave woman.

The Messenger of God was a merciful man, not a tyrant. He would let his wife vent out her feelings without repression. Statements like that are expected between married people and he was an example of forbearing.

God loves his prophets and messengers and pleases them in this life and the one to come. He responds to their invocations and answers their wishes. This is quiet rational and the Bible is full of that.

It is also natural that women would not be happy about their husbands getting married to other wives, but that doesn't mean that the one who does is not a prophet, for Abraham, Moses and David (the son of God per the Bible) all were polygamous. The Mormons are right in saying that there is not the slightest shadow of evidence that any of the prophets or Jesus (peace be upon him) forbade polygamy.

The The reference to Matt 10 is a figurative illustration of the division that following Jesus has in some families.

Again, you can't debate with someone who always runs to the excuse of figuratism to explain away any statement they don't like.

You should be familiar with Muslims who convert to Christianity and the violence they suffer as a result. There are a number of websites that record examples of this. Do you want some links?

There are many Muslims who suffered greater persecution without converting, but just for being Muslim at the hands of the Christians, of these examples are the Bosnians who were exterminated in thousands just because of their creed. Is that terrorism?

Read Matt 5:17 and all of that chapter to understand the true meaning of the law and how it is fulfilled in mercy.

In any book the size of the Bible, there will be different statements understood differently by different people. The Quakers, for instance, may adopt the more peaceful positions in their understanding, and consequently their practice. I did indicate in the beginning of my article that there are peace loving Christians.

Refer also John 8 to understand the rejection of the penalties of the Law reinvented by Mohammad who learnt these penalties from the Jews/the Torah.

This is an indication that you have manipulated the word of God. Since we, you, and the Jews agree on the prophethood of Moses, and that he received the laws from the lord, the creator of the heavens and earth. If our laws are more comparable to those of Moses, that is because they came from the same source. The standards of justice don't change with God. (You must agree that God was communicating to the prophets before Jesus (peace be upon him)). Jesus came to uphold the law and the prophets as indicated in Matthews, but he came to infuse morality and mercy in the application of these laws that are to be upheld. The fact that St Paul changed all that for you and made permissible for you that which was made forbidden by God, all of that has nothing to do with what Jesus (peace be upon him) came for.

You speak about the Torah as if it is not the word of God, the most high!

Inconsistency will always be the lot of those who are partial, and refuse to submit to the truth.

Remember Umar speaks of a stoning verse that was deleted from the final collation of the Koran. Stoning features in Bukhari hadiths! Yet Jesus had hundreds of years earlier confirmed adultery is wrong but all have sinned and so people cant kill a person for breaking the Mosaic Law.. I assume Mohammad did not know of John 8 yet he was apparently a prophet like Jesus.

Go back to my answer about Moses and the Torah.

Note Jesus confirmed one man/one wife and condemned divorce in all but one situation: adultery. His words condemn Mohammad as an adulterer before God!

It is unfortunate that you speak in this way, because indecency and rudeness are not of the teachings of Jesus. Remember, you should love your enemies. I don't know if you

think the same way about Abraham, Moses, David (the only begotten son of God according to the RSV), Solomon and the multitudes of prophets who had several wives.

. Read also Matt 24:35 and Mat 28:20 for the place of the law in relation to Jesus 'words . I assume you dont believe the Bible is corrupted as you quote from it.

I believe in the original versions that were revealed to the prophets, not the ones you keep on changing every few years, revising the revision. The fact that the Bible has been manipulated is a fact that is beyond doubt. (I can send you many quotations from historians and even Christian theologians).

That would not prevent me from quoting it, because it is not all corrupted. Usually when people adulterate a book, they leave most of it intact.

Moreover, I quote it to show the double standard of the people who venerate the Bible, yet condemn the Quran for violence. I think I have made my point clear in the article you are answering to. It is clear to fair readers that the Quran came to abolish the deviations that penetrated the Bible, such as the killing of the children, including infants, and even the animals.

I request you to impartially read both the Quran and the Bible from cover to cover. Before you do that, I recommend for you to humble yourself, and stay away from attacking a prophet for whose sake God may punish you. This is my sincere advice to you as a fellow human being.

My answer and the previous ones are to defend my religion, the last word of God to humanity, against the vicious attack of those whose hearts are blind. May Allah guide us all.